

Brent Caslin (Cal. Bar. No. 198682)
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
633 West Fifth Street
Suite 3600
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: 213 239-5100
Facsimile: 213 239-5199
bcaslin@jenner.com

Terrence J. Truax (*pro hac vice*)
Michael T. Brody (*pro hac vice*)
Gabriel A. Fuentes (*pro hac vice*)
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
353 N. Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois 60654-3456
Telephone: 312 222-9350
Facsimile: 312 527-0484
ttruax@jenner.com
mbrody@jenner.com
gfuentes@jenner.com

*Attorneys for Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc.,
and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944-SC

This Document Relates to:

MDL No. 1917

All Indirect Purchaser Actions

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi
Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-1173;

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v.
Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V., et al.*, No.
13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-
05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-
05261;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,
No. 11-cv-05513;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,
No. 13-cv-05264;

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes,
Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.
13-cv-05686;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No.
11-cv-05514;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05262;

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture
Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 14-cv-02510.

Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.’s (collectively, “Mitsubishi Electric”) administrative motion to file the Reply in Support of Mitsubishi Electric’s Motions in Limine Nos. 1-3 (“Reply”), Under Seal, has come before the Court. Having considered the Reply, and pursuant to the Court’s prior sealing orders, and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the following are to be sealed:

- The highlighted portions of Pages 2-5 and 9-11 of the Reply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Honorable Samuel P. Conti
United States District Judge